

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CRIMINAL APPLICATION No 899 of 1990

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE K.R.VYAS

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?
1 to 5 No.

SUBHASH S.PATEL

Versus

STATE OF GUJARAT

Appearance:

Mr.P.B.Majmudar ,Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. K.P.Raval, Addl.P.P. for respondent No.1.

Mr.S.C.Patel, Addl.Standing Counsel for respondent No.2.

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE K.R.VYAS

Date of decision: 24/10/96

ORAL JUDGEMENT

This petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been filed by the petitioner for quashing and setting aside the investigation initiated by respondent No.2 against the petitioner on the basis of the complaint at Annexure "A" to the petition and, in the alternative, to direct respondent

No.2 to immediately complete the investigation against the petitioner within a period of one month. This petition was filed on 11-6-90 and the Rule was issued on 30th August, 1990.

To-day, when the matter is called out, Mr. S.C.Patel, learned Additional Standing Counsel appearing for respondent No.2 has stated that the respondent No.2 has completed the investigation and chargesheet has been filed against Arvindkumar Petkar, the then Control of Explosive, before the Special Judge, City Sessions Court, Ahmedabad. In view of this, nothing further is required to be done in this case as the chargesheet is filed after the investigation. Hence the petition is dismissed. Rule is discharged.

True copy